

JS 44 (Rev. 06/17)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS

Kenneth Harden

(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Cumberland
(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)

(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)

Conrad J. Benedetto, Esq. 1615 S. Broad St., Phila., PA 19148
(215)389-1900 ext. 113

DEFENDANTS

City of Millville, Chief Jody Farabella, P/O Jeffrey Proffit, Joh Doe P/O 1-10, ABC Entities 1-10

County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Cumberland

(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)

NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.

Attorneys (If Known)

II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

- ☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant
☐ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)
☒ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)

III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)

- | | PTF | DEF | | PTF | DEF |
|---|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---|----------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Citizen of This State | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1 | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1 | Incorporated or Principal Place of Business In This State | <input type="checkbox"/> 4 | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4 |
| Citizen of Another State | <input type="checkbox"/> 2 | <input type="checkbox"/> 2 | Incorporated and Principal Place of Business In Another State | <input type="checkbox"/> 5 | <input type="checkbox"/> 5 |
| Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country | <input type="checkbox"/> 3 | <input type="checkbox"/> 3 | Foreign Nation | <input type="checkbox"/> 6 | <input type="checkbox"/> 6 |

IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

Click here for: [Nature of Suit Code Descriptions.](#)

CONTRACT	TORTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES	
<input type="checkbox"/> 110 Insurance <input type="checkbox"/> 120 Marine <input type="checkbox"/> 130 Miller Act <input type="checkbox"/> 140 Negotiable Instrument <input type="checkbox"/> 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment <input type="checkbox"/> 151 Medicare Act <input type="checkbox"/> 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) <input type="checkbox"/> 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits <input type="checkbox"/> 160 Stockholders' Suits <input type="checkbox"/> 190 Other Contract <input type="checkbox"/> 195 Contract Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 196 Franchise	PERSONAL INJURY <input type="checkbox"/> 310 Airplane <input type="checkbox"/> 315 Airplane Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 320 Assault, Libel & Slander <input type="checkbox"/> 330 Federal Employers' Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 340 Marine <input type="checkbox"/> 345 Marine Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 350 Motor Vehicle <input type="checkbox"/> 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 360 Other Personal Injury <input type="checkbox"/> 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice	PERSONAL INJURY <input type="checkbox"/> 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 367 Health Care/Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPERTY <input type="checkbox"/> 370 Other Fraud <input type="checkbox"/> 371 Truth in Lending <input type="checkbox"/> 380 Other Personal Property Damage <input type="checkbox"/> 385 Property Damage Product Liability	<input type="checkbox"/> 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 <input type="checkbox"/> 690 Other LABOR <input type="checkbox"/> 710 Fair Labor Standards Act <input type="checkbox"/> 720 Labor/Management Relations <input type="checkbox"/> 740 Railway Labor Act <input type="checkbox"/> 751 Family and Medical Leave Act <input type="checkbox"/> 790 Other Labor Litigation <input type="checkbox"/> 791 Employee Retirement Income Security Act IMMIGRATION <input type="checkbox"/> 462 Naturalization Application <input type="checkbox"/> 465 Other Immigration Actions	<input type="checkbox"/> 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 <input type="checkbox"/> 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS <input type="checkbox"/> 820 Copyrights <input type="checkbox"/> 830 Patent <input type="checkbox"/> 835 Patent - Abbreviated New Drug Application <input type="checkbox"/> 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY <input type="checkbox"/> 861 HIA (1395ff) <input type="checkbox"/> 862 Black Lung (923) <input type="checkbox"/> 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) <input type="checkbox"/> 864 SSID Title XVI <input type="checkbox"/> 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS <input type="checkbox"/> 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) <input type="checkbox"/> 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	<input type="checkbox"/> 375 False Claims Act <input type="checkbox"/> 376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a)) <input type="checkbox"/> 400 State Reapportionment <input type="checkbox"/> 410 Antitrust <input type="checkbox"/> 430 Banks and Banking <input type="checkbox"/> 450 Commerce <input type="checkbox"/> 460 Deportation <input type="checkbox"/> 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations <input type="checkbox"/> 480 Consumer Credit <input type="checkbox"/> 490 Cable/Sat TV <input type="checkbox"/> 850 Securities/Commodities/Exchange <input type="checkbox"/> 890 Other Statutory Actions <input type="checkbox"/> 891 Agricultural Acts <input type="checkbox"/> 893 Environmental Matters <input type="checkbox"/> 895 Freedom of Information Act <input type="checkbox"/> 896 Arbitration <input type="checkbox"/> 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision <input type="checkbox"/> 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes
REAL PROPERTY <input type="checkbox"/> 210 Land Condemnation <input type="checkbox"/> 220 Foreclosure <input type="checkbox"/> 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment <input type="checkbox"/> 240 Torts to Land <input type="checkbox"/> 245 Tort Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 290 All Other Real Property	CIVIL RIGHTS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 440 Other Civil Rights <input type="checkbox"/> 441 Voting <input type="checkbox"/> 442 Employment <input type="checkbox"/> 443 Housing/Accommodations <input type="checkbox"/> 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment <input type="checkbox"/> 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other <input type="checkbox"/> 448 Education	PRISONER PETITIONS Habeas Corpus: <input type="checkbox"/> 463 Alien Detainee <input type="checkbox"/> 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence <input type="checkbox"/> 530 General <input type="checkbox"/> 535 Death Penalty Other: <input type="checkbox"/> 540 Mandamus & Other <input type="checkbox"/> 550 Civil Rights <input type="checkbox"/> 555 Prison Condition <input type="checkbox"/> 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement			

V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

- ☒ 1 Original Proceeding
☐ 2 Removed from State Court
☐ 3 Remanded from Appellate Court
☐ 4 Reinstated or Reopened
☐ 5 Transferred from Another District (specify)
☐ 6 Multidistrict Litigation - Transfer
☐ 8 Multidistrict Litigation - Direct File

VI. CAUSE OF ACTION

Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity):
42 U.S.C. 1983

Brief description of cause:

Police Abuse of Force Violation of Constitutional Protection

VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:

☐ CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P.

DEMAND \$
1,000,000.00

CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint:

JURY DEMAND: ☒ Yes ☐ No

VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY

(See instructions):

JUDGE

DOCKET NUMBER

DATE

07/13/2017

SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

RECEIPT #

AMOUNT

APPLYING IFP

JUDGE

MAG. JUDGE

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NEW JERSEY DISTRICT
CAMDEN VICINAGE**

KENNETH HARDEN	}	
	}	
	}	CIVIL ACTION
Plaintiff,	}	
	}	Index No.
vs.	}	
	}	
The City of Millville, Chief Jody Farabella,	}	
individually and his official capacity,	}	COMPLAINT
Officer Jeffrey Proffit, and	}	
John Doe Police Officers 1-10, individually	}	
and in their official capacities,	}	
	}	
Defendants.	}	
	}	

Plaintiff, Kenneth Harden, by and through their attorneys, the Law Office of Conrad Benedetto, brings this action for damages and other legal and equitable relief against the City of Millville, Chief Jody Farabella, Officer Jeffrey Proffit, and John Does Police Officers and/or other individuals 1-10, and ABC Entities 1-10, (collectively, "Defendants"), and alleges as follows:

PARTIES

1. Kenneth Harden is an adult individual and at all times relevant to this Complaint was a resident of the City of Millville, County of Cumberland, State of New Jersey.

2. The Defendant, City of Millville is a chartered subdivision of the State of New Jersey with the capacity to sue or to be sued. At all relevant times, the City of Millville was a duly organized public entity, form unknown, existing under the laws of the State of New Jersey. The City of Millville is responsible for the actions, omissions, policies, procedures, practices and customs of its various agents, departments and agencies. Furthermore, the City of Millville was responsible

for the actions, omissions, policies, practices and customs of its employees and responsible to ensure that its employees complied with the laws and Constitutions of the United States and the State of New Jersey.

3. Defendant, Jody Farabella, is an adult individual and at all times relevant to this Complaint was the Chief of Police for City of Millville, New Jersey.

4. Defendant, Jeffrey Proffit is an adult individual and at all times relevant hereto was a police officer with the City of Millville New Jersey and was acting under the color of law within the course and scope of his duties as a police officer with the City of Millville Police Department.

5. At all times relevant hereto the City of Millville employed Defendant Police Officer Jeffrey Proffit and other Police Officers, or individuals, John Does 1 – 10, who were acting under color of law within the course and scope of their duties as police officers or law enforcement personnel with the City of Millville's Police Department.

6. At all times relevant hereto, Defendant Officer Proffit and John Doe Police Officers or other individuals 1 - 10, inclusive, were police officers and/or managerial, supervisory, and policymaking employees of the City of Millville, who were acting under color of law within the course and scope of their duties as police officers and/or managerial, supervisory and policymaking employees for the City of Millville having the complete authority and ratification of their employer, the City of Millville, and/or ABC Entities 1-10.

7. The true names and identities of Defendants, John Doe Police Officers, and/or other individuals 1-10, and ABC Entities 1-10, inclusive, are unknown to Plaintiff, at this time and therefore are sued by such fictitious names. Plaintiff will seek leave to amend this complaint to show true names and capacities of these Defendants when they have been ascertained. Each of the

fictitiously named Defendants is responsible in some manner for the conduct and liabilities alleged herein.

8. The Officer Defendants are directly liable for Kenneth Harden's injuries under federal and state laws, including, 42 U.S.C. 1983, and are sued in their individual capacities for damages.

9. At all times relevant hereto, Defendant Proffit and John Doe Police Officers and/or other individuals 1-10 were acting under color of law and as employees of the City of Millville.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

10. This civil action is brought for the redress of alleged deprivations of constitutional rights as protected by 42 U.S.C. § 1983, 1985, 1986, 1988, and the First, Fourth, and Fourteenth Amendments of the United States Constitution. Jurisdiction is founded on 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1343, and 1367.

11. Venue is proper in this Court under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) because all incidents, events, and occurrences giving rise to this action occurred in the County of Cumberland, State of New Jersey.

FACTS COMMON TO ALL CLAIMS FOR RELIEF

12. On or about July 16, 2015, at about 7:00 a.m. Kenneth Harden and Desiree Best, were in a vehicle driving in the City of Millville. The two had spent the evening in Atlantic City and were returning to Plaintiff's home in Millville.

13. As they were driving in Millville, Kenneth Harden's vehicle was stopped by Officer Proffit of the City of Millville Police Department.

14. After Plaintiff's vehicle was stopped, Defendant Proffit forced Plaintiff out of his vehicle and without provocation punched Plaintiff numerous times in the face and about his body.

15. Fearing for his safety, Plaintiff began to flee from Officer Proffit who began to give chase to Plaintiff. Both Plaintiff and Officer Proffit were on foot.

16. During the chase, Officer Proffit pulled out his service weapon. After noticing that the Officer had pulled out his service gun, Plaintiff stopped running and was quickly apprehended by Officer Proffit.

17. After apprehending Plaintiff, Officer Proffit pushed and shoved Plaintiff to the ground.

18. While on the ground, Officer Proffit attempted unsuccessfully to shove his service gun into Plaintiff's mouth.

19. Officer Proffit subsequently pistol-whipped Plaintiff on the back-right side of his head causing Plaintiff's head to split open and begin bleeding.

20. Plaintiff was then taken to the Millville Police Headquarters where he was charged with resisting arresting.

21. After being processed, Plaintiff was transported to Inspira Hospital in Vineland, New Jersey.

22. At Inspira Vineland Hospital, Plaintiff received multiple staples to his head to stop the bleeding. Other than the head contusion and laceration, Plaintiff presented with numerous abrasions, scratches, and bruises on his face, and torso, headache/pain, and injury to the middle finger of his right hand.

23. As a direct result of the aforesaid incident, Plaintiff has suffered from nightmares and dizziness and will continue to suffer same for an indefinite period of time.

24. When Defendant Officer Proffit caused the aforesaid injuries to Mr. Harden, he maliciously deprived Plaintiff of his right to be secure against unreasonable searches and seizures

as guaranteed by the Fourth Amendment to the United States Constitution and applied to State actors by the Fourteenth Amendment.

25. The attack on Kenneth Harden by Officer Proffit was excessive and unreasonable, especially because Kenneth Harden was unarmed and did not pose an imminent threat of death or serious injury to the Police Officer Defendants, or to any other individuals.

26. As a direct result of the subject conduct of the Police Officer Defendants, Plaintiff suffered extreme pain and suffering, mental anguish, serious injuries to his head, body, and limbs internally and externally, and permanent disfigurement to his body.

27. Officer Proffit's attack on Kenneth Harden combined with the improper restraint procedures he engaged, violated the Plaintiff's Civil Rights.

28. The conduct of Officer Proffit was willful, wanton, malicious, and done with reckless disregard for the rights and safety of Mr. Harden and therefore warrants the imposition of exemplary and punitive damages.

29. At all times material hereto, Defendant, Officer Proffit, proximately caused substantial injury to the Plaintiff by the committing a vicious and violent physical assault upon the Plaintiff with the unlawful use of his service weapon, and by engaging other acts/or omissions as described herein.

30. The Defendant City of Millville, also proximately caused the Plaintiff's injuries and is responsible and liable for the damages to the Plaintiff under state law and the principles set forth in Monell v. Department of Social Services, 436 US 658 US 658(1978).

**PLAINTIFF'S FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF
LIABILITY OF THE DEFENDANTS, FOR
FEDERAL CONSTITUTIONAL VIOLATIONS
42 U.S.C. § 1983**

31. The allegations set forth in the preceding paragraphs are incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

32. Defendant, the City of Millville, by and through Chief Farabella and other supervisors, managers, or policymakers, developed policies practices and/or customs which led to Kenneth Harden's injuries and violated his federal constitutional rights.

33. Said policies practices and customs were inherently deficient and resulted in the pain and suffering, mental anguish, severe bodily injuries and permanent disfigurement to the Plaintiff.

34. Defendant, the City of Millville, by and through Defendant Chief Farabella and other supervisors, managers or policy makers negligently, recklessly, and/or intentionally failed to properly train and supervise Defendant Police Officer Proffit and/or John Doe Police Officers or other individuals 1 – 10 with respect to the proper use of force when engaging members of the public.

35. The deliberate indifference of Defendants the City of Millville and Chief Farabella as illustrated above, was a proximate cause of the constitutional violations suffered by the Plaintiff, Kenneth Harden.

36. The violation by the City of Millville and Chief Farabella of Kenneth Harden's rights under the United States Constitution was the proximate cause of damages to Plaintiff, thereby entitling Plaintiff to compensatory damages against Defendants the City of Millville and Chief Farabella, in an amount exceeding \$1,000,000.

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff, Kenneth Harden, demands judgment against the Defendants City of Millville and Chief Jody Farabella, and each of them, for compensatory and punitive damages, counsel fees, and all costs of suit.

**PLAINTIFF'S SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF
LIABILITY OF DEFENDANTS AND
DEFENDANTS, JOHN DOE POLICE OFFICERS 1-10 FOR
DEFENDANTS, FEDERAL CONSTITUTIONAL VIOLATIONS**

37. The allegations set forth in the preceding paragraphs are incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

38. At all times relevant hereto, Defendant Police Officer Proffit and Defendants John Doe Police Officers, and other individuals 1-10 were acting under color of state law as employees of the City of Millville, County of Cumberland, New Jersey.

39. The actions of Defendants Police Officer Proffit and John Doe Police Officers or other individuals 1 – 10 as set forth above violated the Civil Rights of Kenneth Harden as guaranteed by the Eighth and Fourteenth Amendments of the United States Constitution to be safe from injury, harm and use of excessive force by the City of Millville Police.

40. The violations by Police Officer Proffit and John Doe Police Officers or other individuals 1-10 of Kenneth Harden's rights under the United States Constitution was the proximate cause of the injuries and harm to Mr. Harden, thereby entitling Plaintiff to compensatory damages from Defendants Officer Proffit and John Doe Police Officers or other individuals 1-10 in their capacities in an amount exceeding \$1,000,000.

41. The conduct and actions of Defendant, Police Officer Proffit and John Doe Police Officers or other individuals 1 -10 in their individual capacities was intentional, malicious, willful, wanton and/or in reckless disregard of Mr. Harden's federally protected rights, therefore entitling the Plaintiff to an award of punitive damages.

42. Plaintiff is entitled to recover] punitive damages against Defendants Police Officers Proffit and John Doe Police Officers or other individuals 1-10, in their individual capacities, in an amount greater than \$1,000,000.

43. Furthermore, Plaintiff is entitled to recover reasonable attorney's fees and the costs and expenses of this action.

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff, Kenneth Harden, demands judgment against the DefendantsProffit, and John Doe Police Officers or other individuals 1-10, and each of them, for compensatory and punitive damages, counsel fees and all costs of suit.

**PLAINTIFF'S THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF
SUPERVISORY LIABILITY OF DEFENDANT,
CHIEF JODY FARABELLA
42 U.S.C. § 1983**

44. The allegations set forth in the preceding paragraphs are incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

45. At all times relevant hereto, Defendant Chief Jody Farabella was the Chief of Police of the City of Millville's Police Department.

46. Plaintiff is informed, believes, and therefore alleges that at all times relevant hereto Defendant Farabella was responsible and charged with the duty of setting and administering policies, practices, and procedures for the City of Millville's Police Department by which its Police Officers were duty bound to act and perform within. Plaintiff is further of the belief and therefore alleges that on or about July 16, 2015, Chief Farabella was aware of, should have been aware of, and/or had actual knowledge of the pattern and culture of unconstitutional behavior and deliberate indifference, on the part of police officers under his command, including the use of excessive force by Millville Police against members of the public.

47. As such, Defendant Farabella not only directed, encouraged, tolerated or acquiesced to this behavior and indifference towards members of the public, but was deliberately indifferent to the likelihood that his staff, employees and/or police officers would use excessive force when engaging members of the public.

48. The deliberate indifference of Defendant Chief Farabella of the need to train and supervise his staff, employees and/or police officers against the use of excessive force and/or the proper use and exercise of force was a proximate cause of the constitutional violations suffered by the Plaintiff, Kenneth Harden.

49. The violation by Chief Farabella of Kenneth Harden's rights under the United States Constitution was the proximate cause of damages to Plaintiff, thereby entitling Plaintiff to compensatory damages from Defendant Chief Farabella in an amount exceeding \$1,000,000.00.

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff, Kenneth Harden, demands judgment against Defendant Chief Farabella for compensatory and punitive damages, counsel fees, and all costs of suit.

PLAINTIFF'S FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF VIOLATION OF NEW JERSEY CIVIL RIGHTS ACT N.J.S.A. 10:6-1, et. seq. DEFENDANTS, THE CITY OF MILLVILLE, CHIEF JODY FARABELLA, INDIVIDUALLY AND HIS OFFICIAL CAPACITY, POLICE OFFICER JEFFREY PROFFIT, AND JOHN DOE POLICE OFFICERS AND/OR INDIVIDUALS 1-10, INDIVIDUALLY AND IN THEIR OFFICIAL CAPACITY AND ABC ENTITIES 1-10, AND IN THEIR OFFICIAL CAPACITIES

50. The allegations set forth in the preceding paragraphs are incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

51. At all times material hereto, Defendant Police Officer Jeffrey Proffit and John Doe Police Officers or other individual 1 – 10 were acting under color of law when they used excessive force against Plaintiff, Kenneth Harden and failed to protect Plaintiff from injury or harm.

52. The Defendants, the City of Millville and Chief Farabella, failed to adequately train or otherwise have, maintain and enforce effective policies and practices and training of police officers to protect members of the public from injury or harm .

53. The Defendants, the City of Millville and Chief Farabella, were deliberately indifferent to the likelihood that the City of Millville's staff, employees and/or police officers, including Defendant Proffit and Defendants John Doe Police Officers 1 – 10, would use excessive force against members of the public and fail to keep members of the public free from injury or harm in violation of the New Jersey Civil Rights Act, N.J.S.A. 10:6-2.

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff, Kenneth Harden, demands judgment against the Defendants for compensatory and punitive damages, counsel fees, and all costs of suit.

**PLAINTIFF'S FIFTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF BATTERY AGAINST ALL
DEFENDANTS, THE CITY OF MILLVILLE, CHIEF JODY FARABELLA,
INDIVIDUALLY AND HIS OFFICIAL CAPACITY, POLICE OFFICER JEFFREY
PROFFIT, AND JOHN DOE POLICE OFFICERS AND/OR INDIVIDUALS 1-10,
INDIVIDUALLY AND IN THEIR OFFICIAL CAPACITY AND ABC ENTITIES 1-10,
AND IN THEIR OFFICIAL CAPACITIES**

54. The allegations set forth in the proceeding paragraphs are incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

55. The Defendants owed a duty to keep Plaintiff, Kenneth Harden free from harm and injury, and had a duty to other members of the public to keep them free from injury or harm, and against the use and infliction of excessive force upon their persons.

56. Defendants intentionally subjected Kenneth Harden to cruel and unusual punishment by the use and infliction of excessive force upon his person.

57. The deliberate indifference of the Defendants to Kenneth Harden's rights caused substantial harm, permanent injury and disfigurement to Plaintiff.

58,. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' actions and conduct, Plaintiff was severely injured and suffered permanent disfigurement, pain and suffering, and mental and emotional harm.

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff, Kenneth Harden, demands judgment against Defendant Proffit and John Doe Police Officers, or other individuals 1-10 and each of them, for compensatory and punitive damages, counsel fees, and all costs of suit.

**SIXTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF NEGLIGENCE AGAINST
ALL DEFENDANTS, THE CITY OF MILLVILLE, CHIEF JODY FARABELLA,
INDIVIDUALLY AND HIS OFFICIAL CAPACITY, POLICE OFFICER JEFFREY
PROFFIT, AND JOHN DOE POLICE OFFICERS AND/OR INDIVIDUALS 1-10,
INDIVIDUALLY AND IN THEIR OFFICIAL CAPACITY AND ABC ENTITIES 1-10,
AND IN THEIR OFFICIAL CAPACITIES**

59. The allegations set forth in the proceeding paragraphs are incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

60. The City of Millville, its Police Department and Chief Farabella had a duty to train and supervise its staff, employees and/or police officers on the appropriate use of force against members of the public, and to protect members of the public from injury and harm.

61. The City of Millville, its Police Department and Chief Farabella failed to properly train and supervise its staff, employees and police officers on the appropriate use of force when engaging members of the public and to protect members of the public from injury or harm.

62. As a direct and proximate result of the negligence of the City of Millville, Kenneth Harden suffered significant injuries, permanent disfigurement, pain and suffering and mental and emotional harm.

63. Plaintiff is entitled to an award of compensatory damages against the City of Millville in an amount which exceeds \$1,000,000.

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff, Kenneth Harden, demands judgment against the City of Millville for compensatory and punitive damages, counsel fees, and all costs of suit.

Dated: July 13, 2017

LAW OFFICE OF CONRAD J. BENEDETTO

BY: 

CONRAD J. BENEDETTO, ESQUIRE

JURY DEMAND

The Plaintiff hereby demands trial by jury.

Dated: July 13, 2017

LAW OFFICE OF CONRAD J. BENEDETTO

BY: 

CONRAD J. BENEDETTO, ESQUIRE

ID No. CB1697

Attorneys for Kenneth Harden

1233 Haddonfield Berlin Road – Suite # 1

Voorhees, NJ 08043

856-500-2727 (Telephone)

CJBENEDETTO@Benedettolaw.com